

# Lagrange Interpolation & The Chinese Remainder Theorem

Tsz-Wo Sze

2025 · 8 · 12

## Abstract

In this article, we generalize Lagrange interpolation to re-construct homogeneous polynomials of two variables. Then, we apply it to solve the puzzle below. Finally, we discuss the similarity between Lagrange interpolation and the Chinese remainder theorem.

$$\begin{aligned} 37\#21 &= 928, \\ 77\#44 &= 3993, \\ 123\#17 &= 14840, \\ 71\#6 &= ? \end{aligned}$$

## 1 Lagrange Interpolation

**Theorem 1** (Lagrange Interpolation). *For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $a_i$  be  $n$  distinct numbers and  $c_i$  be  $n$  not necessarily distinct numbers. Define the Lagrange polynomial*

$$f(x) := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i} \frac{x - a_j}{a_i - a_j}.$$

*Then,  $f$  is a degree  $d$  polynomial with  $d < n$  and  $f(a_i) = c_i$  for all  $i$ .*

Lagrange interpolation (Theorem 1) re-constructs polynomials of a single variable. We generalize it to re-construct homogeneous polynomials of two variables in Theorem 2 below. When putting  $y = b_1 = \dots = b_n = 1$ , Theorem 2 is the same as Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2** (Generalized Lagrange Interpolation). *For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $(a_i, b_i)$  be  $n$  distinct pairs of numbers and  $c_i$  be  $n$  not necessarily distinct numbers. Suppose*

$$a_i b_j - a_j b_i \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } j \neq i. \quad (1.1)$$

*Define the homogeneous Lagrange polynomial*

$$f(x, y) := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i} \frac{x b_j - a_j y}{a_i b_j - a_j b_i}. \quad (1.2)$$

*Then,  $f$  is a degree  $d$  homogeneous polynomial with  $d < n$  and  $f(a_i, b_i) = c_i$  for all  $i$ .*

### 1.1 Solving The Puzzle

We apply Theorem 2 to solve the puzzle mentioned in the abstract. Using the notation  $a_i \# b_i = c_i$ , let  $a_1 = 37, b_1 = 21, c_1 = 928, a_2 = 77, b_2 = 44, c_2 = 3993, a_3 = 123, b_3 = 17, c_3 = 14840$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 &= 37 \cdot 44 - 77 \cdot 21 &= 11, \\ a_1 b_3 - a_3 b_1 &= 37 \cdot 17 - 123 \cdot 21 &= -1954, \\ a_2 b_3 - a_3 b_2 &= 77 \cdot 17 - 123 \cdot 44 &= -4103; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x \# y &= \frac{c_1(x b_2 - a_2 y)(x b_3 - a_3 y)}{(a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1)(a_1 b_3 - a_3 b_1)} + \frac{c_2(x b_1 - a_1 y)(x b_3 - a_3 y)}{(a_2 b_1 - a_1 b_2)(a_2 b_3 - a_3 b_2)} + \frac{c_3(x b_1 - a_1 y)(x b_2 - a_2 y)}{(a_3 b_1 - a_1 b_3)(a_3 b_2 - a_2 b_3)} \\ &= 928 \frac{(44x - 77y)(17x - 123y)}{(11)(-1954)} + 3993 \frac{(21x - 37y)(17x - 123y)}{(-11)(-4103)} + 14840 \frac{(21x - 37y)(44x - 77y)}{(1954)(4103)} \\ &= x^2 - y^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,  $71\#6 = 71^2 - 6^2 = 5005$ .

## 1.2 The Idea Behind Lagrange Interpolation

Lagrange polynomial (1.2) looks complicated but the idea behind it actually is simple. Given  $n$  distinct pairs  $(a_i, b_i)$  and  $n$  numbers  $c_i$ , construct a homogeneous polynomial  $f$  satisfying  $f(a_i, b_i) = c_i$ . For discussion purpose, set  $n = 3$ . Let

$$f(x, y) := c_1\delta_1(x, y) + c_2\delta_2(x, y) + c_3\delta_3(x, y),$$

where  $\delta_i$ 's are homogeneous polynomials with the following property:

$$\delta_i(x, y) := \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x = a_i \text{ and } y = b_i, \\ 0 & , \text{ if } x = a_j \text{ and } y = b_j \text{ for } j \neq i. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Then,  $f(a_i, b_i) = c_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  as desired. The remaining task is to construct  $\delta_i$ 's.

Take  $\delta_1$  as an example. Since we want to have  $\delta_1(a_2, b_2) = 0$  and  $\delta_1(a_3, b_3) = 0$ , define it as

$$\delta_1(x, y) := g_2(x, y)g_3(x, y)k_1,$$

where  $g_i(x, y) := xb_i - a_iy$  and  $k_1$  is a constant. Note that  $g_i(a_i, b_i) = 0$  for all  $i$ . Then,

$$\delta_1(x, y) = \begin{cases} g_2(a_1, b_1)g_3(a_1, b_1)k_1 & , \text{ if } x = a_1 \text{ and } y = b_1, \\ 0 & , \text{ if } x = a_j \text{ and } y = b_j \text{ for } j \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

By assumption (1.1), we have  $g_j(a_i, b_i) \neq 0$  for all  $j \neq i$ . In order to have  $\delta_1(a_1, b_1) = 1$ , set  $k_1 := k_{1,2}k_{1,3}$ , where  $k_{i,j} := 1/g_j(a_i, b_i)$  for all  $j \neq i$ . Therefore,

$$\delta_1(x, y) = g_2(x, y)g_3(x, y)k_{1,2}k_{1,3} = \frac{(xb_2 - a_2y)(xb_3 - a_3y)}{(a_1b_2 - a_2b_1)(a_1b_3 - a_3b_1)}$$

satisfies property (1.3). Of course,  $\delta_2$  and  $\delta_3$  can be constructed similarly.

## 2 Chinese Remainder Theorem

**Theorem 3** (Chinese Remainder Theorem). *Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n > 1$  be  $n$  pairwise coprime integers. Let  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  and  $m$  be integers such that*

$$m \equiv c_1 \pmod{a_1}, \quad \dots, \quad m \equiv c_n \pmod{a_n}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then,

$$m \equiv \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i} a_j k_{i,j} \pmod{A}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $A := \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i$  and  $k_{i,j}$ 's are integers such that  $k_{i,j} \equiv a_j^{-1} \pmod{a_i}$  for  $j \neq i$ .

### 2.1 The Idea Behind The Chinese Remainder Theorem

Interestingly, the Chinese remainder theorem equation (2.2) looks similar to the Lagrange polynomial (1.2). Indeed, the ideas behind them are essentially the same. As before, we set  $n = 3$  in the following discussion. Let

$$m := c_1\delta_1 + c_2\delta_2 + c_3\delta_3,$$

where  $\delta_i$ 's are integers such that

$$\delta_i \bmod a_j \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } j = i, \\ 0 & , \text{ if } j \neq i. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Then,  $m$  satisfies conditions (2.1) as desired. The remaining task is to construct  $\delta_i$ 's.

Take  $\delta_1$  as an example. Since we want to have  $\delta_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{a_2}$  and  $\delta_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{a_3}$ , define it as

$$\delta_1 := a_2a_3k_1,$$

for some integer  $k_1$ . Then,

$$\delta_1 \bmod a_j \equiv \begin{cases} a_2a_3k_1 & , \text{ if } j = 1, \\ 0 & , \text{ if } j \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

By the assumption of  $a_i$ 's being pairwise coprime, the multiplicative inverses of  $a_2, a_3 \pmod{a_1}$  exist and they are respectively  $k_{1,2}, k_{1,3}$ . In order to have  $\delta_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{a_1}$ , set  $k_1 := k_{1,2}k_{1,3}$ . Therefore,

$$\delta_1 = a_2a_3k_{1,2}k_{1,3}$$

satisfies property (2.3). Of course,  $\delta_2$  and  $\delta_3$  can be constructed similarly.